



Ohio Board of Professional Conduct

Board of Professional Conduct Files Disciplinary Case Reports and Recommendations

The Ohio Board of Professional Conduct has filed seven reports with the Supreme Court of Ohio. Two reports recommended imposition of a disciplinary sanction against Ohio attorneys based upon the submission of consent-to-discipline agreements. One report recommended that a petition for reinstatement be denied.

Parties who have not consented to discipline will have an opportunity to file objections to the Board's reports and recommendations with the Supreme Court. If a party files objections, the Supreme Court will schedule the case for oral argument.

Additional information about each case, including the report and recommendation, may be obtained by clicking on the Supreme Court case number. Questions regarding cases pending before the Supreme Court should be directed to the Supreme Court's Office of Public Information at 614.387.9250.

Franklin County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Stephen Michael Chinn

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1384](#)

Recommended sanction: Indefinite suspension

Disciplinary Counsel v. Adam Oliver Johnson

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1363](#)

Recommended sanction: Two-year suspension, eighteen months stayed

Disciplinary Counsel v. Stacey Lynn Beck

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1357](#)

Recommended sanction: Six-month suspension, stayed (consent to discipline)

Disciplinary Counsel v. Michael William DeWitt

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1375](#)

Recommended sanction: Two-year suspension, stayed

Columbus Bar Association v. Richard Francis Chambers II

[Supreme Court Case No. 2022-0534](#)

Recommendation: Petition for reinstatement denied

Morrow County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Edwin Matthew Bibler

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1364](#)

Recommended sanction: One-year suspension, stayed (consent-to-discipline)

Warren County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Jason Roger McNeily

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1360](#)

Recommended sanction: Two-year suspension