



Ohio Board of Professional Conduct

Board of Professional Conduct Files Disciplinary Case Reports and Recommendations

The Ohio Board of Professional Conduct has filed six reports with the Supreme Court of Ohio. Two reports recommended imposition of a disciplinary sanction against Ohio attorneys based upon the submission of consent-to-discipline agreements.

Parties who have not consented to discipline will have an opportunity to file objections to the Board's reports and recommendations with the Supreme Court. If a party files objections, the Supreme Court will schedule the case for oral argument.

Additional information about each case, including the report and recommendation, may be obtained by clicking on the Supreme Court case number. Questions regarding cases pending before the Supreme Court should be directed to the Supreme Court's Office of Public Information at 614.387.9250.

Clark County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Kristina Jo Sims

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1067](#)

Recommended sanction: One-year suspension, stayed

Cuyahoga County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Mark Andrew Snider

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1068](#)

Recommended sanction: One-year suspension, stayed

Franklin County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Jeffrey Lee Amick

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1059](#)

Recommended sanction: Public reprimand (consent to discipline)

Mahoning County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Wesley Alton Johnston

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1064](#)

Recommended sanction: Two-year suspension, stayed

Montgomery County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Hui Li

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1074](#)

Recommended sanction: Permanent disbarment

Summit County

Disciplinary Counsel v. Charles Tyler, Sr.

[Supreme Court Case No. 2026-1062](#)

Recommended sanction: One-year suspension, six months stayed